



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
. 10/090,499	03/04/2002	Anthony J. Dezonno	6065-82964	6038	
24628	7590 08/11/2005		EXAM	INER	
WELSH & KATZ, LTD			GENACK, MATTHEW W		
120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
22ND FLOO			FAFER NUMBER		
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		2645		
		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/090,499)	DEZONNO ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Matthew W	. Genack	2645			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statution of the provision of t	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even ication. days, a reply within the statuttory period will apply and will ll, by statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be timory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>05 May 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b						
3)	/ 						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
•—	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 May 2005</u> is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted					
11)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	t(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892) ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P ⁻ er No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-10, and 13-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alpdemir, U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/0035474.

Regarding Claims 1, 8, 15, and 20-21, Alpdemir discloses a method, system, and business model for an information system and service having business self-promotion features whereby consumers call an information center associated with a business using a regular telephone (Abstract, [0002] Lines 1-7, [0018], Fig. 1). A live agent may handle some calls ([0059], [0110] Lines 1-7). A caller may submit a query pertaining to the activities of the business ([0002], [0018], [0085], [0094], [0141] Lines 1-5). The user's question can then be translated into Voice Extensible Markup Language (VXML) with a speech-to-text (STT) conversion engine ([0138] Lines 1-17, Fig. 1). Artificial intelligence is used in the processing and answering of the query ([0141] Lines 7-9). A text-to-speech (TTS) engine and speech server are used to provide the answer to the caller (Abstract, [0139] Lines 1-5, [0143] Lines 1-11, Fig. 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/090,499

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding Claims 2, 9, and 16, the calls to the information center are received through the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) (Fig. 1).

Regarding Claims 3, 10, and 18, Alpdemir discloses a speech-to-text conversion engine for converting the caller's words into text commands (Abstract, [0021] Lines 1-5, [0138] Lines 1-17, Figs. 1-2).

Regarding Claims 6, 13, and 17, Alpdemir discloses that a personal computer (PC), personal digital assistant (PDA), or other appliance capable of displaying HTML pages may submit a query to the information center (Abstract, [0139] Lines 8-19, Fig. 1).

Regarding Claims 7, 14, and 19, Alpdemir discloses that a query may be submitted via email ([0054]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-5 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alpdemir in view of Saylor *et. al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,792,086.

Regarding Claims 4 and 11, Alpdemir does not expressly disclose the conversion of an answer into an extensible markup language.

Saylor et. al. discloses a system and method whereby voice codes store content, said content being accessible by telephone (Abstract, Column 1 Lines 62-66, Column 5

Lines 12-14). A user calls a call processing center, and said call center processes an information request from said user via a voice browser module that uses speech recognition to interpret the user's request for information. This information may be disseminated by an organization whose purpose is commerce-related (Column 3 Lines 36-41, Column 5 Lines 41-42 and 55). The user may ask a business-related question (Column 17 Lines 13-16). An interpreter may be used to provide requested Voice XML information to the user (Column 8 Lines 16-20).

At the time that the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Alpdemir by providing for the conversion of the provided answer into an extensible markup language.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make this modification because the customer may be using a device that is more suited to receiving an answer in extensible markup language form than in the form of synthesized speech.

Regarding Claims 5 and 12, Alpdemir discloses that the requested information may be passed through a text-to-speech engine and speech server and played on the user's telephone (Abstract, [0139] Lines 1-5, [0143] Lines 1-11, Fig. 1).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to Claims 1-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection. Since the new grounds of rejection are in response to Applicant's amended claims, this Office Action is final.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,499

Art Unit: 2645

Conclusion

Page 5

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew W. Genack whose telephone number is 571-272-7541. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEX.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 571-272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew Genack

Examiner

Art Unit 2645

26 July 2005

FAN TSANG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TÉCHNOLOGY CENTER 2600